

RatingsDirect[®]

Cambridge, Massachusetts; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Henry W Henderson, Boston (1) 617-530-8314; henry_henderson@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Andrew R Teras, Boston (1) 617-530-8315; andrew_teras@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Economy: Strong And Diverse With Multiple Large Employers

Finances

Cambridge Health Alliance

Debt

Related Criteria And Research

Cambridge, Massachusetts; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$65.26 mil GO bnds ser 2013 dtd 02/19/2013 due 02/15/2033

Long Term Rating A

New

Cambridge GO

Long Term Rating

AAA/Stable

Affirmed

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating to Cambridge, Mass.' series 2013 general obligation (GO) bonds and affirmed its 'AAA' long-term rating on the city's parity GO debt. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our opinion of the city's:

- Strong and dynamic local economy, anchored by Harvard University and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), as well as biotechnology and high-tech firms;
- Above-average wealth and income factors, including an extremely strong market value per capita;
- Very strong financial position, despite general fund decreases in fiscals 2010 and 2011, coupled with an experienced management team and strong management policies; and
- · Low debt burden and manageable capital plan.

The city's full faith and credit pledge secures the bonds. Officials will use bond proceeds for various capital projects.

Cambridge, with a stable population of about 108,000, is across the Charles River from Boston. Anchored by Harvard University and MIT, the local economy is strong and concentrated in high-tech, biotechnology, engineering, medicine, education, and consulting. In our view, income levels are strong: Median household effective buying income is 114% of the national level while per capita effective buying income is higher, at 142% of the national level. In our opinion, the city's economy has remained sound--the 2012 average unemployment rate through November (not seasonally adjusted) was 3.8%, significantly lower than the 6.1% state rate and 7.4% national rate over the same period. Employment at Harvard and MIT and at various technology and biotech firms spurs the city's strong economy.

After a slight 0.5% decrease for fiscal 2011, the city's assessed valuation (AV) increased by 3.1% from fiscal 2012 to fiscal 2013 to \$25.2 billion, equal to more than \$220,000 per capita, which we consider extremely strong. Although the tax base is moderately concentrated, with the 10 largest taxpayers accounting for 20% of AV and 32% of the levy, the concentration is due in large part to taxable property owned by MIT, which accounts for 12% of the levy, and we consider MIT to be a very stable taxpayer. The difference between the proportional share of AV and the tax levy is due to the city's dual tax rate. In addition to property taxes on taxable, non-education properties, the two universities also make payments in lieu of taxes (PILOTs) on their tax-exempt properties. The major components of AV are residential (61.7%) and commercial (21.4%).

Cambridge's fund balance remains very strong in our view, despite general fund decreases in fiscals 2009-2011. The

total and available general fund balances both increased in fiscal 2012 due to a combination of both revenue and expenditure surpluses. A \$27.0 million increase in the available general fund balance brought it to \$126.7 million or 28.7% of operating expenditures. The total fund balance was \$163.2 million or 37% of expenditures. General fund liquidity, in our opinion, remains strong and increased in fiscal 2012, with cash of \$192.2 million covering current liabilities by nearly 6.0x. In addition to the general fund balance, the city had an additional \$15.2 million committed reserve in its parking fund (an increase of \$1.0 million from fiscal 2011), which provides additional financial flexibility.

The city continues to have the largest amount of unused Proposition 2 1/2 tax levy capacity in the commonwealth, \$104.1 million for fiscal 2013, which is also the largest amount the city has had since the levy limits were enacted. The excess levy capacity allows city officials to increase the levy by that amount without the need for electorate-approved exemptions or overrides. Cambridge's long-term forecast projects this excess levy capacity will increase in each year through the last year of the projection, fiscal 2017. Property taxes are the city's leading revenue source, accounting for about 65% of general fund revenues and transfers in, and collections have been strong, in our view, with current collections averaging about 98% over the past five fiscal years. Intergovernmental revenue accounts for less than 10% of general fund revenue and transfers in, making the city less vulnerable to state aid reductions than most other municipalities in the commonwealth.

Standard & Poor's considers Cambridge's financial management practices "strong" under its Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable. The current city manager is retiring at the end of fiscal 2013, but the current deputy city manager has already been selected for the role.

Including this issue, and net of self-supporting water and sewer debt, the city's debt burden is low, in our view, as a share of market value, at 1.1%, and moderate on a per capita basis, at \$2,640. Cambridge's carrying charges have grown gradually in recent years, but stabilized recently at about 10% of governmental expenditures, less capital outlay. We view debt amortization as very rapid, with officials retiring 82% of principal in 10 years and all debt in 20 years.

The city's pension unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) increased by \$83.9 million from Jan. 1, 2010 to Jan. 1, 2012 to \$237.8 million, a 77.8% funded level. The UAAL increase was due primarily to a \$67.8 million experience loss, primarily due to actuarial investment returns being less than assumptions--which were somewhat offset by salary increases being less than assumptions-- and an \$18.3 million increase in the liability due to assumption changes, largely from decreasing the investment return assumption from 8.25% to 8.0%. The fiscal 2012 pension payment of \$25.1 million was equal to 5.7% of general fund expenditures; the city has historically made 100% of its pension annual required contribution (ARC) and management plans to continue to do so. The city recently pushed the full pension funding date back to 2029 from 2013, due to investment losses. Officials indicate that once the city fully funds the pension liability, it may dedicate the former pension funding to making payments for the other postemployment benefit (OPEB) liability, but they have not yet made a decision on this funding issue.

As of June 30, 2012, the OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$611.1 million, about 4% larger than the UAAL from 2010. The city currently funds OPEB primarily on a pay-as-you-go basis, with a fiscal 2012 contribution of \$22.5 million, equal to about 46% of the ARC and 5.1% of general fund expenditures. The city established an OPEB trust fund in December 2009 and made a \$1.0 million contribution in fiscal 2013, and officials expect a \$2.0 million contribution for fiscal 2014.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Cambridge's very strong financial position, experienced management team, and strong financial management policies and practices. We do not expect to change the rating within the two-year outlook period as we expect the city's strong and resilient local economy and property tax base will continue to support provide sound revenue to support the city's financial position.

Economy: Strong And Diverse With Multiple Large Employers

The city remains an employment center: In 2011, the city was host to one job for every city resident.

Cambridge is home to a concentrated cluster of world-recognized biotechnology and pharmaceutical firms that are attracted by the concentration of intellectual capital at Harvard, MIT, and the Whitehead Institute, a research and development think tank. Private biotechnology firms account for six of the city's 25 leading employers. The city has 12 employers with more than 1,000 employees, the largest of which are:

- Harvard (11,200 employees),
- MIT (7,800),
- The City of Cambridge (2,900),
- · Novartis Institute for Biomedical Research (2,300), and
- Mt. Auburn Hospital (1,700).

In the third quarter of 2012, Cambridge's commercial vacancy rate decreased to 7.1%, which is favorable compared with Boston and area suburbs.

Finances

The fiscal 2013 budget increased by 2.9% from the prior budget, and the tax levy increased by 6.0%. The budget appropriates \$9.0 million of free cash (general fund balance), which was reserved in the fiscal year-end 2012 balance sheet, along with some funds from non-general fund reserves. The city has agreements with eight of its 10 collective bargaining units; the teachers and firefighters contracts are still being negotiated, but management expects the settled contracts will be manageable. Cambridge's five-year financial forecast projects continued free cash appropriations of \$8 million to \$9 million, along with reductions from various stabilization reserve funds in line with the plans when those funds were established. The city's reserve policy requires at least a 15% general fund balance.

The PILOTs from Harvard and MIT are budgeted at \$5.3 million for fiscal 2013. The Harvard PILOT extends through fiscal 2055 and increases by 3% per year while the MIT PILOT extends through 2045 and increases 2.5% annually. The MIT agreement also contains provisions that are designed to lessen the revenue impact to the city if MIT converts any of its currently taxable property to a nontaxable use.

Cambridge Health Alliance

In 1996, all employees, assets, and liabilities of the former Cambridge Department of Health and Hospitals--with the exception of existing pensions and GO debt liabilities through 2018--were transferred to the Cambridge Public Health Commission, which is also known as the Cambridge Health Alliance. The commission is separate from the city. The alliance runs the Cambridge public health department, and the city has agreed to continue to subsidize the alliance. The current agreement extends through fiscal 2017.

Debt

Cambridge's public improvement program projects \$278.9 million in capital spending from fiscals 2013 through 2017, of which \$218.3 million will be funded with bonded debt. Water and sewer projects comprise 61% of the public improvement program and are expected to enjoy the full self-support of the enterprise systems. Following this issuance, the city will have \$68.5 million of authorized unissued debt remaining. We expect that the city's additional debt burden should remain moderate.

Related Criteria And Research

- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- U.S. State And Local Government Credit Conditions Forecast, Jan. 17, 2013

Copyright © 2013 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

McGRAW-HILL



New Issue: Moody's assigns Aaa to Cambridge's (MA) \$65.26 million GO Bonds;

Outlook is stable

Global Credit Research - 11 Feb 2013

Aaa rating affects \$393 million in rated debt outstanding, including current issue

CAMBRIDGE (CITY OF) MA Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships) MA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE RATING

General Obligation Bonds, Municipal Purpose Loan of 2013 Aaa

Sale Amount

\$65,260,000 02/15/13

Expected Sale Date

.

Rating Description

General Obligation

Moody's Outlook STA

Opinion

NEW YORK, February 11, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa rating to the City of Cambridge's (MA) \$65.26 million General Obligation Bonds, Municipal Purpose Loan of 2013. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the Aaa rating assigned to the city's \$328 million in outstanding long-term general obligation debt. The bonds are secured by the city's general obligation, limited tax pledge as debt service has not been excluded from the levy limitations of Proposition 2 ½. The bonds are being issued to fund the city's fiscal 2013 public investment program, which consists primarily of city and school building projects, roadway improvements, sewer system upgrades, and the purchase of a new fire vehicle.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aaa rating reflects the city's large, diverse and stable tax base, which is anchored by world renowned higher education institutions and a growing research and development sector. Also incorporated into the Aaa rating are a healthy financial position which has remained historically stable, management's consistently conservative approach to budgeting and expenditure management and a favorable debt profile supported by healthy enterprise systems and commonwealth school construction aid. Assignment of the stable outlook incorporates Moody's expectation that the city will maintain superior credit quality given its healthy financial position which is supported by formal policies, as well as its historically stable tax base which continues to see ongoing development.

STRENGTHS:

- -- Large and diverse tax base anchored by stable institutions
- -- Robust financial position guided by sound management policies
- Very ample excess levy capacity under Proposition 2 1/2
- -- Well managed debt profile

CHALLENGES:

- -- Significant long-term liabilities for pensions and OPEB
- -- High regional costs of living and doing business

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

ONGOING COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT SPURS MODEST TAX BASE GROWTH

Cambridge's economy benefits from the presence of Harvard University (rated Aaa/stable outlook) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT, rated Aaa/stable outlook) — which together enroll 27,940 students and provide employment for almost 19,000 full-time equivalent positions – and the related vibrant biotechnology, pharmaceutical and life sciences employment base. Together these institutions comprise 42% of the jobs provided by the city's top 25 employers while building permits issued to the universities historically represent a significant portion of the city's annual activity. Cambridge's assessed value has remained strong during the economic downturn and lagging recovery, largely due to the ongoing expansion of the city's commercial sector. Following a modest decline of 0.5% in fiscal 2011, assessed values increased by 1.2% in fiscal 2012, and grew another 3.1% in fiscal 2013. Management's projections for future growth indicate modest increases in assessed values over each of the next four fiscal years. New development continues in the city, as evidenced by improving building permit activity. Fiscal 2012 building permit valuations totaled approximately \$1.1 billion, resulting in \$15.9 million in revenue to the city. This represents a significant increase over 2011 permit valuations of \$503.2 million, and is largely a result of several large scale commercial developments primarily located in the Harvard Square and Kendall Square districts.

From 2007 through the fall of 2012, Cambridge has added approximately 898,000 square feet of commercial space, with several additional projects under construction. City officials report that over 6.3 million additional square feet of space, primarily slated for biotechnology research and development, is in various stages of construction, or is already completed, in the city's economic development districts. Absorption of new space has been rapid and office vacancy rates dropped significantly to 7.1% in the third quarter of 2012, down from 9% and 11.6% in the third quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Cambridge's commercial vacancy rate compares favorably to metro Boston, as well as the regional suburban vacancy rates of 10% and 16.8%, respectively. Although demographic indices are somewhat tempered by the high student population, income levels remain above average relative to state and national medians. Incorporating a 3.8% population increase since 2000, the city's equalized value per capita is a robust \$253,325 in fiscal 2013, despite the tax exempt status of nearly one-third of the tax base.

HEALTHY FINANCIAL POSITION CHARACTERIZED BY AMPLE RESERVE LEVELS AND PROACTIVE MANAGEMENT

Cambridge is expected to maintain a healthy financial position in the near term, although stabilization funds earmarked for debt service are expected to be depleted over the next several years. The city continues to benefit from ample financial flexibility and robust reserve levels, which position it to absorb an extended period of flat or declining state aid and sluggish local revenue growth. Cambridge's management team maintains formally adopted fiscal policies for its annual budgeting, including long-term projections for revenues, expenditures, and capital needs. Steady revenue streams, generated by its substantial and stable tax base, provide flexibility to address budgetary challenges. Local property taxes comprise the majority of revenues, representing 64.3% of fiscal 2012 general fund revenues. Property tax collections remain strong, at approximately 99% in the current year, and the city's unused levy capacity under Proposition 2 ½ has grown to an all-time high of \$102.6 million, providing ample flexibility. Levy capacity is projected continue to grow at least through 2017, indicating management's willingness to maintain the additional revenue raising flexibility provided by this capacity.

Although revenues and expenditures are carefully managed, the city has made moderate appropriations of free cash to support operations, smaller capital needs and to moderate tax rate increases. In addition, Cambridge has made additional appropriations from its city and school stabilization funds to offset debt service costs related to the city's recently-completed library and high school construction projects. The stabilization funds were built to \$32 million in fiscal 2008 in anticipation of future debt service costs, with the current balance of the stabilization fund expected to be depleted by 2017.

Despite the depletion of stabilization funds, the city maintains ample reserves in its unassigned general fund and parking fund which are available for unanticipated financial needs. After three consecutive years of modest General Fund balance declines, the city finished fiscal 2012 with \$18.1 million operating surplus after transfers. The 2012 surplus was a result of prudent budget management, with the city recognizing favorable revenue and expenditure variances in almost every category. The city's free cash, the most conservative measure of legally available reserves as certified by the commonwealth, improved significantly to a record high of \$115.8 million, or a sound 25% of revenues.

The city's fiscal 2013 adopted budget includes formal investment, debt and reserve policies that have guided and maintained the city's financial health. The city is well above its policies requiring total and unassigned general fund balance equal or greater to 25% and 15%, respectively, of the ensuing fiscal year's operating revenue. The fiscal 2013 expenditure budget contains a modest overall 2.87% increase over the adjusted fiscal 2012 budget, driven by ongoing expenditure pressures in several areas including salaries, employee pension and health insurance, as well as an optional \$1 million appropriation to the city's Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) trust fund. The city's budget was balanced by a 5.97% property tax levy increase as well as a total appropriation of \$20.1 million in reserves, which included \$9 million in free cash, \$0.6 million school stabilization funds, \$8.5 million from the health claims trust and \$2 million overlay reserves. Despite budgeted draws on reserves and ongoing declines in state revenues, Moody's anticipates that the city will continue its practice of strict budget management, allowing the city to maintain ample reserve and levy capacity levels.

In November 2001 Cambridge voters passed the Community Preservation Act (CPA), imposing a 3% surtax and qualifying the city to receive state matching funds. Through fiscal 2013, \$121 million has been appropriated or reserved since adoption. CPA funds are available to fund affordable housing, historic preservation and open space conservation, and notably have enabled the development or preservation of over 3,350 units of housing in the city. The city has budgeted roughly \$5.4 million from Payments In Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) in fiscal 2013, roughly 1.2% of general fund revenues. The majority comes from Harvard University (rated Aaa/stable outlook) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT, also rated Aaa/stable outlook). Both institutions own significant taxable real estate and are major taxpayers, which together represent 8.7% of Cambridge's 2013 assessed value and roughly 13.2% of the levy. In fiscal 2005 the city signed a 40-year agreement with MIT and a 50-year agreement with Harvard. Each PILOT includes annual escalators on the initial base payment over the term of the agreement to provide stability and to allow long-range planning for the city. Overall, Moody's expects that Cambridge will remain well-positioned to maintain its sound financial position during an extended period of economic uncertainty and constrained revenue growth.

SIGNIFICANT LIABILITIES FOR PENSION AND OPEB

The city's has updated its actuarial study for Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB), reflecting values on June 30, 2012 and incorporating the establishment of an OPEB trust as well as adjustments to the city's health care plan. Cambridge's unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) has increased to \$611 million, up slightly from \$586 million in 2010. The city budgeted roughly \$22.5 million for pay-as-you-go retiree health care expense in fiscal 2012; funding the full annually required contribution (ARC) would require an additional appropriation of up to \$22.6 million. An irrevocable OPEB trust was established and initially funded in fiscal 2010 with a \$2 million transfer from the city's health claims trust account (leaving roughly \$15 million in the trust fund). The city added \$1 million in funding above its pay-as-you-go cost in fiscal 2013 and plans to budget \$2 million annually from fiscal 2014 through fiscal 2017.

The city's retirement system was nearly fully funded in 2008 (92%) but subsequently experienced significant losses, consistent with similar systems nationwide, reducing funding status to 77.8% as of the most recent actuarial valuation, dated January 1, 2012. The city has lowered its investment return assumption to 8% in fiscal 2012, and is anticipating full funding of the plan by 2029. 11 years short of the state deadline of 2040. The city has budgeted additional payments totaling \$2.6 million to the retirement system to offset investment losses and improve funding status. The city budgets 100% of its ARC payment (\$32 million in fiscal 2012), which is consistent with its actuarial funding schedule. Pension and OPEB contributions totaled a moderate 12.3% of FY12 expenditures.

AFFORDABLE DEBT BURDEN WITH MANAGEABLE CAPITAL NEEDS

Cambridge's debt obligations will remain affordable given a sizeable level of self-supporting debt and a rapid principal retirement schedule. The city's direct debt burden of 1.1% of equalized value rises to a moderate 1.8% after including overlapping wastewater debt from the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA senior lien debt rated Aa1/stable outlook). Self-supporting water and sewer system debt as well as the city's pay-as-you-go funding plan, budgeted at approximately \$5 million annually, also contribute to Cambridge's favorable debt ratios. Direct debt is retired at an average pace of 81.2% within 10 years. Despite the significant amount of self-supporting debt, general fund-supported debt service claimed a somewhat elevated 10% of fiscal 2012 expenditures; due to the completion of a number of significant capital projects including a new library and renovation of Cambridge Rindge and Latin High School, debt service expenditures have more than doubled from a more moderate 5.5% of expenditures in fiscal 2002. However, the city remains comfortably below its policy to limit general fund debt service to 12.5% of operating expenditures. City officials plan to issue approximately \$225 million in debt over the next four years to fund citywide capital projects under previous authorizations as well as those

projects included in its \$285 million public investment plan. However, with roughly 44.5% of the debt expected to be supported by user fees Moody's expects Cambridge's debt burden to increase modestly but to remain manageable. Cambridge has no exposure to variable or auction rate debt or swap agreements.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Moody's expectation that Cambridge will maintain a healthy financial position, given its strong reserve levels and history of balanced operations. Moody's also expects that the city's will continue to improve funding ratios for pension and OPEB while maintaining a conservative approach to budgeting and expenditure management. Additionally, the stable outlook incorporates the city's status as home to several world renowned institutions, and ongoing development in the commercial sector.

WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATING DOWN:

- -- Significant reduction in reserve levels or property tax levy capacity
- -- Adoption of less conservative approach to budgeting and financial management
- Deterioration of tax base or local economy
- -- Failure to improve funding status for pension and OPEB

KEY STATISTICS

2011 Population (US Census): 105,162 (+3.8% since 2000)

2010 Per Capita Income: \$44,717 (131.7% of MA, 163.6% of US)

2010 Median Family Income: \$87,750 (108.1% of MA, 139.3% of US)

Unemployment, October 2012: 4.3% (MA 6.2%, US 7.5%)

2013 Equalized Value: \$26.6 billion

2013 Equalized Value per Capita: \$253,325

Equalized Value Average Annual Growth 2008-2013: 1.4%

FY12 Total General Fund Balance: \$163.2 million (35.2% of General Fund Revenues)

FY12 Unassigned General Fund Balance: \$126.7 million (27.4% of General Fund Revenues)

Overall Debt Burden: 1.8%

Amortization of principal (10 years): 81.2%

Post-sale long-term debt outstanding: \$394 million

RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was General Obligation Bonds Issued by U.S. Local Governments published in October 2009. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that

would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Thomas Compton Lead Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Nicholas Lehman Backup Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Geordie Thompson Additional Contact Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES.

NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity

securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Tax Supported / U.S.A.

Cambridge, Massachusetts

General Obligation Bonds New Issue Report

Ratings

New Issue

General Obligation Bonds
Municipal Purpose Loan of 2013

AAA

Outstanding Debt

General Obligation Bonds

AAA

Rating Outlook

Stable

New Issue Details

Sale Information: \$65,260,000 General Obligation Bonds, Municipal Purpose Loan of 2013, expected to sell on Feb. 19 via competition.

Security: The bonds are general obligations of the city, payable from ad valorem taxes on all taxable property in the city, subject to statutory limitations.

Purpose: To support various sewer, school and municipal projects.

Final Maturity: Feb. 15, 2033

Key Rating Drivers

Exceptional Financial Management: Management's conservative budgeting practices and prudent use of reserves has helped keep tax levy increases at moderate levels while the city faces growing employee-related costs.

Above-Average Reserve and Liquidity Levels: The city's positive financial profile is characterized by large reserves and ample liquidity. Additionally, the city's levy margin continues to grow favorably to the highest level in the city's history.

Economic Diversity Promotes Stability: The stable presence of higher education, health care, biotechnology, and life sciences industries supports the well-diversified economy with low unemployment and above-average wealth levels.

New Development Continues: Ongoing development within the city is projected to promote growth in assessed valuation (AV), providing the city with tax levy flexibility for operations and debt service.

Moderate Debt Levels: Debt levels are moderate and expected to remain manageable, aided by the city's rapid amortization rate.

Related Research

Fitch Rates Cambridge, MA's GO Bonds 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (February 2013)

Analysts

Kevin Dolan +1 212-908-0538 kevin.dolan@fitchratings.com

Michael Rinaldi +1 212-908-0833 michael.rinaldi@fitchratings.com

Rating History

		Outlook/	
Rating	Action	Watch	Oate
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	2/13/13
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	3/1/12
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	2/1/12
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	2/1/11
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/28/10
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	3/9/09
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/24/08
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	3/6/07
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/23/07
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/17/06
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	4/27/05
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/20/05
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/8/04
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	1/9/03
AAA	Affirmed	_	12/3/01
AAA	Affirmed	_	5/23/00
AAA	Assigned		10/7/99

Credit Profile

Cambridge is located in Middlesex County across the Charles River from the city of Boston and has a 2011 population of 106,038.

Diversified Economy With Strong Socioeconomic Indicators

The city is an important economic component for the Boston metropolitan area and Massachusetts as a whole and benefits from the presence of both Harvard University and Massachusetts Institute of Technology. These institutions are the city's two top employers with a combined work force of 19,000.

Cambridge continues to experience employment expansion amongst companies in the biotechnology and life and sciences sector. Leading biotech companies, including Novartis, Biogen Idec, Vertex, Pfizer Millenium and Genzyme, employ more than 8,500 Cambridge workers. Several major software and Internet companies have recently established research and development operations in Cambridge including Microsoft, Google, and VMware.

Cambridge continues to be recognized for its high level of venture capital investment which totaled \$305 million during the third quarter of 2012, as new ventures are attracted to Cambridge's highly skilled work force, urban setting and proximity to cutting edge companies and research institutions.

The city's well-diversified economy is characterized by a low November 2012 unemployment rate of 3.8% and a high per capita money income figure that equals more than 166% of the national average. AV performance remains positive and up 3.1% in fiscal 2013 from the prior fiscal year. The city is projecting moderate increases in AV in fiscal years 2014 through 2016 based on new construction, appreciation in values of existing property and major rehabilitations, which is considered to be realistic by Fitch.

Numerous economic development projects are underway or in the planning stages and include expansions to existing corporate facilities and new offices or labs. The city's 10 largest taxpayers account for an above-average 20% of the total tax base, but Harvard and MIT together total close to 10% and most commercial property owners own multiple parcels with many different uses and tenants, providing considerable diversification of the city's property tax revenue base.

Financially Sound City With Strong Reserves

Exceptional financial management and planning are demonstrated by the city's strong financial position. The city continues to strategically use general fund reserves, including debt stabilization funds, to keep tax levies at moderate levels. Reserve levels remain strong. For fiscal 2012, the city experienced a \$19.7 million operating surplus (4.4% of spending), after transfers, due to conservative estimates of non-property tax items. Building permits, notably, were higher as a result of new university and bio company projects. Expenses also came in lower than estimated helping avoid the use of reserves, which has typically been the city's experience.

The city ended fiscal 2012 with an unrestricted general fund balance (committed, assigned, and unassigned) of \$161 million, equivalent to a strong 36% of spending. The city has historically maintained an unassigned fund balance well in excess of the city's fund balance policy requiring an unassigned general fund balance equal to at least 15% of the ensuing year's budgeted revenues.

Related Criteria

U.S. Local Government Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (August 2012)

Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (August 2012)

FitchRatings

Cambridge's \$116 million of certified free cash for fiscal 2012 (up from \$102 million in fiscal 2010) is the largest amount in the city's history. Fitch also notes that Cambridge's substantial excess levy capacity under Proposition 2 1/2, along with its considerable reserve levels, provides the city with significant financial flexibility. The city's \$104 million excess levy capacity totals 21% of the fiscal 2013 operating budget and is up by \$2 million from a year prior.

The fiscal 2013 operating budget grew by a manageable 2.9% (compared to 1.8% in fiscal 2012), attributable to an increase in employee salary, health insurance and pension costs. The tax levy is up 6% over the prior year and is being supplemented by the use of \$9 million in free cash, the school debt stabilization fund (\$0.6 million), \$8.5 million from the Health Claims Trust Fund, and \$2 million from overlay surplus. Management has indicated that fiscal year to date results are trending slightly better than estimated due to continued new development and increases in building permit and excise tax revenues.

Financial Summary

(\$000, Audited Fiscal Years Ended June 30)

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Property Tax Revenue	238,747	251,256	266,862	281,812	297,724
Other Tax Revenue	20,831	19,954	22,650	27,371	21,193
Total Tax Revenue	259,578	271,210	289,512	309,183	318,917
Charges for Services	****	_		~-	40,579
Intergovernmental Revenue	47,576	37,234	32,139	31,796	31,954
Other Revenue	76,890	67,204	68,169	75,972	52,006
General Fund Revenue	384,044	375,648	38 9 ,8 20	416, 95 1	443,456
General Government	32,572	31,765	40,101	35,892	35,852
Public Safety	89,514	95,817	95,717	100,414	103,389
Debt Service	34,124	40,169	43,215	45,247	44,562
Other Expenditures	95,957	101,695	105,632	119,967	117,862
General Fund Expenditures	377,598	398,477	417,317	435,5 98	440,941
General Fund Surplus	6,345	(22,829)	(27,497)	(18,647)	2,515
Transfers In	16,8 8 2	17,533	18,726	18,973	19,478
Other Sources	412	793	915	1,031	1,673
Transfers Out	8,782	6,520	2,341	4,225	3,927
Net Transfers and Other	8,512	11,806	17,300	15,779	17,225
Net Surplus/(Deficit)	14,858	(11,023)	(10,197)	(2,868)	19,740
Total Fund Balance	167,519	15 5 ,495	146,298	143,430	163,17 0
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out, and Other Uses	43.3	3 8.6	34.9	32. 5	36.7
Unreserved Fund Balance ^a	150, 3 12	141,595	129,496	-	_
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out, and Other Uses	38.9	35.0	30.9		
Unrestricted Fund Balance ⁶	_			141,761	160,984
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out, and Other Uses				32.2	36.2

^aPre-GASB54, ^bReflects GASB 54 classifications; sum of committed, assigned, and unassigned. Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Debt Levels are Manageable

Overall debt equals a moderate \$4,515 per capita but is lower as a percentage of fiscal 2013 AV at 1.9%. Debt levels should remain manageable given the city's modest overall capital needs and rapid amortization rate; approximately 81% of debt is retired within 10 years. The city plans to issue approximately \$225 million of additional debt over the next four years, with approximately 45% to be supported by user fees.

	ALEXANDER DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRAC	
	Debt Statistics	
!	-	45.000
	This issue	65,260
•	Outstanding Direct Debt	340,349
ļ	Self-Supporting	(121,000)
i	Total Net Direct Debt	284,609
,	Overlapping Debt	194,166
	Total Overall Debt	478,776
,	Debt Ratios	
	Net Direct Debt Per Capita (\$ ^{)a}	2,684
	As % of Full Market Value ^b	1.1
	Overali Debt Per Capita (\$) ^a	4,515
	As % of Full Market Value ^b	1.9
	^a Population: 106,038 (2011). ^b Full market value (fiscal 2013). Note: Numbers may not add due to r	

Pensions are Well Funded

The Cambridge Retirement System was 78% funded as of the Jan. 1, 2012 valuation date, a decline from higher levels in prior years. Using Fitch's more conservative 7% return rate, the plan was a more modest 70% funded. The city contributed \$30.7 million for fiscal 2012 equal to 100% of its annual required contribution and approximately 6.7% of spending. The city paid \$22.5 million in pay-as-you-go other post-employment benefit (OPEB) contributions in fiscal 2012 which accounted for 46% of total OPEB costs. The city's unfunded OPEB liability totaled a high \$611 million in fiscal 2012 (2.4% of AV) and city management created an OPEB trust fund in December 2009 with an initial contribution of \$2 million and has made annual contributions of \$1 million in fiscal 2013 with an expected \$2 million annual contribution for fiscal years 2014–2017.

Management recently negotiated new employee contracts with a majority of its bargaining units and has increased the employee contribution amounts for health insurance premiums for both union and non-union employees which should help result in a lower future OPEB liability for the city.

The ratings above were solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer, and therefore, Fitch has been compensated for the provision of the ratings.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE.

Copyright © 2013 by Fitch Ratings. Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. One State Street Plaza, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 988-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources. Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security of in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt mature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$1,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an